arXiv:1206.3835v4 [math.PR] 31 Aug 2012

First order transition for the branching random walk at the critical parameter

by

Thomas Madaule

Université Paris XIII

Abstract.

Considering a critical branching random walk on the real line. From a study of the law of the trajectory of a particle chosen under the polymer measure, we establish a first order transition for the partition function at the critical parameter. This result is strongly related to the paper of Aïdékon and Shi [1] in which they solved the problem of the normalisation of the partition function in the critical regime.

1 Introduction

We consider a real-valued branching random walk : Initially, a single particle sits at the origin. Its children together with their displacements, form a point process Θ on $\mathbb{R}$ and the first generation of the branching random walk. These children have children of their own which form the second generation, and behave –relatively to their respective positions at birth– like independent copies of the same point process Θ. And so on.

Denote by $\mathbb{T}$ the genealogical tree of the particles in the branching random walk which is a Galton-Watson tree. We write $|z| = n$ if a particle z is in the n-th generation, and denote its position by $V(z)$. The collection of positions $(V(z), z \in \mathbb{T})$ is our branching random walk.

Following [2], we introduce some notations and integrability conditions. Throughout the paper we will assume

$$(1.1) \qquad \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{|x|=1} \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\right) = 1, \qquad \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{|x|=1} V(x)\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\right) = 0.$$

The branching random walk is then said to be in the boundary case (Biggins and Kyprianou [9]). We refer to [17] for detailed discussions on the nature of the assumption (1.1). Loosely speaking, under some mild integrability conditions, an arbitrary branching random walk can always be made to satisfy (1.1) after a suitable linear transformation, as long as either the point process Θ is not bounded from below, or if it is, $\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{||x|=1} \mathbb{1}_{\{V(x)=\underline{m}\}}\right) < 1$, where $\underline{m}$ denotes the essential infimum of the support of Θ.

Let

$$\Phi(t) := \log \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{|x|=1} \mathrm{e}^{-tV(x)}\right) \in (-\infty, +\infty], \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Exactly as in Derrida and Spohn [13], we associate each vertex $u \in \mathbb{T}$ to a directed path from the origin to u, and for each parameter $\beta > 0$, we define the polymer measure $\mu_n^{(\beta)}$on the disordered tree $\mathbb{T}$ as follows : Let $(\mathcal{C}, ||.||_\infty)$ be the set of continuous function on $[0,1]$ endowed with the sup-norm $||.||_\infty$ and $\mathcal{B}$ the σ-algebra generated by the open sets of $(\mathcal{C}, ||.||_\infty)$. Define

$$\mu_n^{(\beta)}(A) := \frac{1}{W_{\beta,n}} \sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta V(u) - \Phi(\beta) n} \mathbb{1}_{\{V(u,\cdot) \in A\}}, \qquad \text{for any } A \in \mathcal{B},$$

where $W_{\beta,n} := \sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta V(u) - \Phi(\beta) n}$ is the normalised partition function. Moreover for any $|u| = n$, we define the linear interpolation of the polymer path :

$$V^{(n)}(u,t) = V(u,t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} V(u_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}) + (nt - \lfloor nt \rfloor) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (V(u_{\lfloor nt \rfloor + 1}) - V(u_{\lfloor nt \rfloor})), \qquad 0 \le t \le 1.$$

Let us mention that in the literature $W_{1,n}$ is called the *additive martingale* associated with the branching random walk. For notational simplification, we write $W_{1,n} = W_n$ for any $n \ge 0$ $[W_0 := 1]$.

This model of directed polymer on a disordered tree corresponds in some sense to a mean field limit $d \to \infty$ [13]. This approximation is can be understood in large lattice dimension : indeed, as d increases, two independent paths V_1 and V_2 on the lattice have smaller probability to ever meet in the future. The models on the d-dimensional lattice and on tree with branching number b are asymptotically alike when $b = 2d \to \infty$. Many authors have already worked on this subject introduced in 1988 by Derrida and Spohn [13]. Recently Mörters and Ortgiese [22] studied the phase transition arising from the presence of a random disorder. In 2011, Aidékon and Shi [2] solved the problem of the normalisation of partition function in the critical regime. As a consequence, Johnson and Waymire [19] showed the weak convergence of the polymer measure to a probability limit. In this paper we study both the polymer measure and the transition of its partition function at the critical parameter.

By Biggins [5], it is known that under some integrability conditions (for example under the forthcoming (1.2), we refer to Lyons [20] for the optimal conditions)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{for } \beta < 1, \qquad && \lim_{n\to\infty} W_{\beta,n} \overset{\text{a.s}}{=} W_\beta, \quad \text{with } W_\beta > 0 \text{ on the set of non-extinction,}\\
&\text{for } \beta \geq 1, \qquad && \lim_{n\to\infty} W_{\beta,n} \overset{\text{a.s}}{=} 0.
\end{aligned}$$

As in [12], we say that the region $\beta > 1$ is the strong disorder regime, $\beta \leq 1$ the weak disorder regime and $\beta = 1$ the critical case.

We are interested here in the analyticity of $\beta \to W_\beta$. [7] proved that the martingale $(W_{n,\beta})_{\beta\in\mathbb{C}}$ converges uniformly on any compact subset of a set $\Lambda^* \subset \mathbb{C}$ almost surely and in mean. As a by-product, he obtained the analyticity of W_β on $(0,1)$. We shall show that there is a first order transition at $\beta = 1$. In order to state the result, we need some extra integrability condition. We assume that there exists $\frac{1}{4} > \epsilon_0 > 0$ and $\frac{\epsilon_0}{2} > \delta_- > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\left(\sum_{|x|=1} \mathrm{e}^{-(1-2\delta_-)V(x)}\right)^{1+2\epsilon_0}\right) < \infty. \tag{1.2}$$

This condition (1.2), stronger than the Aidekon-Shi [2]'s conditions (see (1.6) and (1.7)), implies

$$\sup_{\beta\in[1-\delta_-,1]} \mathbf{E}\left(\left(\sum_{|x|=1} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta V(x)}\right)^{1+\epsilon_0}\right) < \infty. \tag{1.3}$$

In our paper this is essential because we will need the integrability of $W_\beta^{1+\epsilon_0}$. In order to state our result we need to introduce the so-called *derivative* martingale defined by

$$D_n := \sum_{|u|=n} V(u)\mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}, \qquad n \geq 1.$$

By Biggins and Kyprianou, [8], we know that there exists a random variable D_∞ positive on the set of non-extinction such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} D_n \overset{a.s}{=} D_\infty. \tag{1.4}$$

Our result is the following Theorem :

Theorem 1.1 *Assume (1.1) and (1.2). We have :*

$$\lim_{\beta\uparrow 1} \frac{W_\beta}{1-\beta} = 2D_\infty, \tag{1.5}$$

where the convergence holds in probability.

Our main result is deeply inspired by Aidékon and Shi [2]. Indeed the derivative martingale appears naturally in the rate convergence of $W_n \to 0$, as shown in Hu and Shi [15] and furthermore by the following theorem :

Theorem (Aidékon and Shi [2]) *Assume (1.1) and*

$$\sigma^2 := \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{|x|=1} V(x)^2 \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\right) < \infty \qquad \text{and} \tag{1.6}$$

$$\mathbf{E}(X(\max(0,\log X)^2) < \infty, \qquad \mathbf{E}(\tilde{X}\max(0,\log \tilde{X})) < \infty, \tag{1.7}$$

where $X := \sum_{|x|=1} \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}$, $\tilde{X} := \sum_{|x|=1} \max\{0, V(x)\}\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}$, *we have on the set of non-extinction*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt{n}\frac{W_n}{D_n} = \left(\frac{2}{\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \text{in probability.} \tag{1.8}$$

Thanks to this result we will also give the limiting law of the trajectory of particles chosen under the polymer measure when $\beta = 1$. Recall

$$\mu_n(A) \equiv \mu_n^{(1)}(A) := \frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)} \mathbb{1}_{\{V(u,\cdot)\in A\}}, \qquad \text{for any } A \in \mathcal{B}.$$

We obtain

Theorem 1.2 *Assume (1.1), (1.6) and (1.7). We have for any* $F \in C_b(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{R}^+)$,

$$\int F(x)\mu_n(dx) := \frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)} F\left(V(u,.)\right) \underset{n\to\infty}{\to} \mathbf{E}\left(F(\sigma R)\right), \qquad \text{in probability,} \tag{1.9}$$

where $(R_s)_{s\in[0,1]}$ *is a Brownian meander.*

This convergence represents an important step in the proof of Theorem 1.1 but it may also have an independent interest. For example we mention an interesting paper by Alberts and Ortgiese [4] which also study a phase transition at the critical case. Theorem 1.2 would yield their Theorem 1.2.

We note also that this result is not true almost surely. Indeed by Lemma 6.3 of [2] and Theorem 1.5 [15], there exists $C > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large n,

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\exists x : \, n \le |x| \le 2n, \, \frac{1}{2}\log n \le V(x) \le \frac{1}{2}\log n + C; \, \max_{n\le k\le 2n} \sqrt{n}W_k \le C\right) \ge c.$$

Moreover on this set the weights of the extremal particles are not negligible. Finally we get

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\mu_n(\{w(1)\in[0,\epsilon]\})>0\right)>0. \tag{1.10}$$

Theorem 1.2 gives also an interesting consequence on the " overlap " of the branching random walk which is introduced in [13]. For $|u|$, $|v|=n$ we define the overlap by

$$Q_{u,v}=\frac{\sup\{k\leq n,\, u_j=v_j\,\forall j\leq k\}}{n}.$$

Similarly for $|u|=|v|=n$ we can introduce the fraction of time the two paths $(V(u_1),...,V(u_n)))$ and $(V(v_1),...,V(v_n)))$ are identical, i.e

$$\tilde{Q}_{u,v}=\frac{\sup\{k\leq n,\, V(u_j)=V(v_j)\,\forall j\leq k\}}{n}.$$

Clearly, $0<Q_{u,v}\leq\tilde{Q}_{u,v}\leq 1$.

Corollary 1.3 *Assume (1.1), (1.6) and (1.7). For any $\delta>0$, the following equality is true*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbf{E}\left(\frac{1}{W_n^2}\sum_{|u|=n,|v|=n}\mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\mathrm{e}^{-V(v)}\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{Q}_{u,v}\geq\delta\}}\right)=0. \tag{1.11}$$

By (1.10), this result is wrong for the convergence a.s.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present some preliminaries on branching random walks. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the Theorem 1.2 and corollary 1.3. Finally, in Section 4 we prove the Theorem 1.1.

2 Preliminaries

This section collects some preliminary results on the branching random walk (change of probabilities, an associated one-dimensional random walk), and it entirely comes from Aidékon and Shi [2].

2.1 The many-to-one Lemma

Let $(V(x))$ be a branching random walk satisfying (1.1) and (1.6). For any vertex x, we denote by $[\emptyset,x]$ the unique shortest path relating x to the root $\emptyset$, and x_i (for $0\leq i\leq|x|$) the vertex on $[\emptyset,x]$ such that $|x_i|=i$. The trajectory of $x\in\mathbb{T}$ corresponds to the ancestor's positions of x, i.e the vector $\big(V(x_1),...,V(x_{|x|})\big)$.

Let $(S_n)_{n\geq 0}$ a random walk such that the law of (S_1) is given by

$$\mathbf{E}\left[f(S_1)\right] := \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{|z|=1} f(V(z))\mathrm{e}^{-V(z)}\right], \qquad \forall f : \mathbb{R} \to [0,\infty), \text{ measurable.}$$

The conditions (1.1) and (1.6) imply that (S_n) is a mean zero random walk and $\mathbf{E}(S_1^2) = \sigma^2 < \infty$. From a simple induction it stems that for any $n \geq 0$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$ measurable we have :

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{|x|=n} g\left(V(x_1), ..., V(x_n)\right)\right) = \mathbf{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{S_n} g(S_1, ..., S_n)\right). \tag{2.1}$$

These equalities form the so called the many-to-one Lemma which plays a fundamental role in many computations of expectations. The presence of the random walk (S_i) is explained in Lyons, Pemantle and Peres [21], and Lyons [20], Biggins and Kyprianou [8].

2.2 The renewal function associated with a one-dimensional random walk

Associated to (S_n), a centered random walk real-valued with $\sigma^2 = \mathbf{E}[S_1^2] \in (0,\infty)$, let $(T_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ the sequence of increasing time $T_1 < T_2 < ... < T_k$ defined by $T_1 := \inf\{k \geq 0,\ S_k > 0\}$ and $T_k := \inf\{k \geq T_k,\ S_k > S_{T_{k-1}}\}$ for $k \geq 1$. We recognize the so called ladder epochs of (S_n). The sequence of ascending ladder heights denoted by $(H_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is simply defined by $H_i := S_{T_i}$ (see Feller [14] for a more complete introduction). So the renewal function associated with (S_n) is

$$h_0(u) := \sum_{j\geq 0} \mathbf{P}\left(|H_j| \leq u\right), \qquad u \geq 0 \tag{2.2}$$

which is also equal by the duality lemma to

$$h_0(u) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\left(S_1 \leq 0, ..., S_j \leq 0,\ S_j \geq -u\right), \qquad u \geq 0, \tag{2.3}$$

In the following this function will play an important role, so we collect here some known facts about h_0. First we have the following identity,

$$h_0(u) = \mathbf{E}\left(h_0(S_1 + u)\mathbb{1}_{\{S_1 \geq -u\}}\right), \qquad \forall u \geq 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Then it is known that there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$c_0 := \lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{h_0(u)}{u}, \tag{2.5}$$

At last, as a consequence there exists constants c, $C > 0$ such that

$$c(1+u) \le h_0(u) \le C(1+u), \qquad u \ge 0. \tag{2.6}$$

2.3 A spine conditioned to stay positive

Let $(V(x))$ be a branching random walk satisfying (1.1). Let $(\mathcal{F}_n)$ the sigma-algebra generated by the branching random walk in the first n generations. Since Lyons [20], the spinal decomposition is a widespread technique to study the branching random walk. Usually we introduce the martingale $W_n := \sum_{|z|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(z)}$ to define a probability $\mathbf{Q}$ such that $\mathbf{Q}|_{\mathcal{F}_n} := W_n.\mathbf{P}|_{\mathcal{F}_n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we obtain a description with a spine of the branching random walk under $\mathbf{Q}$, moreover this spine behaves like a centered random walk. Here we will need a slightly different decomposition, we will work with a spine whose the law is as a random walk conditioned to stay positive.

First let us introduce some notations; for any vertex $x \in \mathbb{T}$, let $\underline{V}(x) := \min_{y\in]\emptyset,x]} V(y)$. For $\alpha \ge 0$ and $u \ge -\alpha$ we set $h_\alpha(u) := h_0(u+\alpha)$. At last we define the processes

$$W_n^{(\alpha)} := \sum_{|x|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(x)\ge -\alpha\}},$$

$$D_n^{(\alpha)} := \sum_{|x|=n} h_\alpha(V(x)) \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(x)\ge -\alpha\}}.$$

From (2.4) and the branching property stem that for any $\alpha \ge 0$, $(D_n^{(\alpha)}, n \ge 0)$ is a non-negative martingale with respect to $\mathcal{F}_n$(see Biggins Kyprianou [8] or [2] for a proof). Of course, here, the martingale $D_n^{(\alpha)}$ plays the role of W_n. So associated with $D_n^{(\alpha)}$ we introduce the new probability measure $\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}$ which satisfies for any n,

$$\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}|_{\mathcal{F}_n} := \frac{D_n^{(\alpha)}}{h_\alpha(0)}.\mathbf{P}|_{\mathcal{F}_n}.$$

Now we will give a representation with spine of the branching random walk under $\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}$. A justification of this representation can be founded in [2]. Recall that the point process which governs the law at the first generation of $(V(x), |x| = 1)$ is distributted under $\mathbf{P}$ as the point process Θ. For any $u \ge -\alpha$, the new probability $\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}$ makes appear the point process $\hat{\Theta}_u^{(\alpha)}$ whose distribution is the law of $(u + V(w), |x| = 1)$ under $\mathbf{Q}^{(u+\alpha)}$. Then the branching random walk under $\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}$ is good described by the following process :

- $w_0^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset$ gives birth to particles distributed according to $\Theta_0^{(\alpha)}$.
- Choose $w_1^{(\alpha)}$ among children of $w_0^{(\alpha)}$ with probability proportional to $\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)} \mathbb{1}_{\{V(x) \geq -\alpha\}} h_\alpha(V(x))$.
- $\forall n \geq 1$, $w_n^{(\alpha)}$ gives birth to particles distributed according to $\Theta_u^{(\alpha)}$ $(u = V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))$.
- Choose $w_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}$ among the children of $w_n^{(\alpha)}$ with probability proportional to $\mathrm{e}^{-V(y)} \mathbb{1}_{\{V(y) \geq -\alpha\}} h_\alpha(V(y))$.
- Subtrees rooted at all other brother particles are independent branching random walk under $\mathbf{P}$.

To ending this section see below three facts which we will use continuously :
(i) $\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}(non - extinction) = 1$ *and that* $\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}(D_n^{(\alpha)} > 0) = 1$ *for any* n.
(ii)For any n *and any vertex* x *with* $|x| = n$, *we have*

$$\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}(w_n^{(\alpha)} = x | \mathcal{F}_n) = \frac{h_\alpha(V(x)) \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(x) \geq -\alpha\}}}{D_n^{(\alpha)}}. \tag{2.7}$$

(iii) The spine process $(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}), n \geq 0)$ *under* $\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}$, *is distributed as a Markov chain with transition probabilities given by*

$$p^{(\alpha)}(u, dv) := \mathbb{1}_{\{v \geq -\alpha\}} \frac{h_\alpha(v)}{h_\alpha(u)} p(u, dv), \qquad u \geq -\alpha, \tag{2.8}$$

where $p(u, dv) := \mathbf{P}(S_1 + u \in dv)$ *is the transition probability of* (S_n).

In (iii), we recognize the law of the process $(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))$ as a random walk conditioned to stay in $[-\alpha, \infty]$ in the sense of Doob's h-transform. A convey way to represent this processes is the following identity : For any $n \geq 1$ and any measurable function $g : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to [0, \infty)$,

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}} \Big(g(V(w_i^{(\alpha)}),\, 0 \leq i \leq n) \Big) = \frac{1}{h_\alpha(0)} \mathbf{E} \left(g(S_i,\, 0 \leq i \leq n) h_\alpha(S_n) \mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{S}_n \geq -\alpha\}} \right). \tag{2.9}$$

Convention Throughout of the paper, c denote generic constants that may change from line to line, but are independent of n.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3

Let $\mathbb{W}^+$ the law of the Brownian Meander, and $\mathbf{E}(\mu_n \otimes \mu_n)$ the probability measure on $\mathcal{C}^2$ (the set of continuous function from $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}^2$) defined by

$$\mathbf{E}(\mu_n \otimes \mu_n)(F) := \mathbf{E}(\mu_n \otimes \mu_n(F)), \qquad \forall F \in C_b(\mathcal{C}^2, \mathbb{R}^+)$$

It is not difficult to see that Theorem 1.2 and corollary 1.3 are implied by

$$\mathbf{E}(\mu_n \otimes \mu_n) \overset{Weakly}{\Longrightarrow} \mathbb{W}^+ \otimes \mathbb{W}^+. \tag{3.1}$$

Indeed if (3.1) is true then for any $G \in C_b(\mathcal{C}^2, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $\mathbf{E}(\mu_n \otimes \mu_n(G)) \to \mathbb{W}^+ \otimes \mathbb{W}^+(G)$. Therefore taking $G_F(w_1, w_2) := (F(w_1) - \mathbf{E}(F(R)))(F(w_2) - \mathbf{E}(F(R)))$ with $F \in C_b(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ we obtain

$$\mathbf{E}\left((\mu_n(F) - \mathbf{E}(F(R)))^2\right) = \mathbf{E}(\mu_n \otimes \mu_n(G)) \to \mathbb{W}^+ \otimes \mathbb{W}^+(G) = 0.$$

which implies Theorem 1.2. For corollary 1.3 as it is clear that $\{Q_{u,v} \geq \delta\} \subset \{V(u,s) = V(v,s),\, \forall s \leq \delta\}$ so (3.1) implies

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup \mathbf{E}\left(\frac{1}{W_n^2} \sum_{|u|=n, |v|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)} \mathrm{e}^{-V(v)} \mathbb{1}_{\{Q_{u,v} \geq \delta\}}\right) \leq \mathbb{W}^+ \otimes \mathbb{W}^+ \left(R^{(1)}(s) = R^{(2)}(s),\, \forall s \leq \delta\right) = 0.$$

and the corollary is proved.

In view to obtain (3.1), we recall that for any continuous process the convergence of the finite-dimensional laws and the relative compactness involve the weakly convergence. Relative compactness is easy to obtain thanks to the following criteria (see [24]) :

A sequence $(\mathbf{P}_n)$ *of probability measures on* $\mathcal{C}^2$ *is weakly relatively compact if and only if the following two conditions hold :*

i) for every $\epsilon > 0$*, there eixst a number* A *and an integer* n_0 *such that*

$$\mathbf{P}_n[|w(0)| > A] \leq \epsilon, \qquad \textit{for every } n \geq n_0.$$

ii) for every η, $\epsilon > 0$*, there exists a number* δ *and an integer* n_0

$$\mathbf{P}_n[K(.,\delta) > \eta] \leq \epsilon, \qquad \textit{for every } n \geq n_0.$$

with

$$K(w,\delta) := \sup\left\{|w_1(t) - w_1(t')| + |w_2(t) - w_2(t')|;\, |t - t'| \leq \delta\right\}, \qquad \forall \delta > 0,\, w = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{C}^2.$$

Proof of the relative compactness. The first condition is trivially satisfied. For the second we need to control $\mathbf{E}\left(\frac{1}{W_n^2} \sum_{|u|=n,|v|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)-V(v)} \mathbb{1}_{\{K(V(u,.),V(v,.)) \geq \eta\}}\right)$. By [2] and [3] we can affirm that $\lim_{A\to\infty} \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{1}{W_n} \geq A\sqrt{n}\right) = \lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\min_{x\in\mathbb{T}} V(x) \leq -\alpha\right) = 0$ and therefore for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A, \alpha > 0$ large enough we have :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\left(\frac{1}{W_n^2}\sum_{|u|=n,|v|=n}\mathrm{e}^{-V(u)-V(v)}\mathbb{1}_{\{K(V(u,.),V(v,.))\geq\eta\}}\right) &\leq \mathbf{E}\left(\frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{|u|=n}\mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\mathbb{1}_{\{\sup\{|V(u,t)-V(u,t')|;\,|t-t'|\leq\delta\})\geq\frac{\eta}{2}\}}\right)\\ &\leq \epsilon + A\sqrt{n}\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{|u|=n}\mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(u)\geq-\alpha\}}\mathbb{1}_{\{\sup\{...\}\geq\frac{\eta}{2}\}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now using the change of probability the last quantity becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &= \epsilon + A\sqrt{n}h_\alpha(0)\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left(\sum_{|u|=n}h_\alpha(V(u))\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}}{D_n^{(\alpha)}}\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(u)\geq-\alpha\}}\frac{\mathbb{1}_{\{\sup\{...\}\geq\frac{\eta}{2}\}}}{h_\alpha(V(u))}\right)\\ &\leq 2\epsilon + A^2\sqrt{n}h_\alpha(0)\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{\mathbb{1}_{\{\sup\left\{|V(w_n^{(\alpha)},t)-V(w_n^{(\alpha)},t')|;\,|t-t'|\leq\delta\right\}\geq\frac{\eta}{2}\}}}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)})}\right)\\ &= 2\epsilon + A^2\sqrt{n}\mathbf{P}\left(\sup\{|S(n,t)-S(n,t')|;\ |t-t'|\leq\delta\}\geq\frac{\eta}{2};\underline{S}_n\geq-\alpha\right),\end{aligned}$$

where the inequality is due to $\lim_{A\to\infty}\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbf{Q}_{(\alpha)}(D_n^{(\alpha)}\geq A)=0$ (see [2]). So our quantity is smaller than 3ϵ for δ small enough thanks to

$$\lim_{\delta\to0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\sqrt{n}\mathbf{P}\left(\sup\{|S(n,t)-S(n,t')|;\ |t-t'|\leq\delta\}\geq\frac{\eta}{2};\underline{S}_n\geq-\alpha\right)=0,$$

see [16] pp 615.

□

Now we have to prove that the finite dimensional distributions of $\mathbf{E}(\mu_n\otimes\mu_n)$ converge to those of $\mathbb{W}^+\otimes\mathbb{W}^+$. The proof of this convergence represents the major part of our paper. In a first time we show that this convergence is equivalent to the assertion (3.2) below :

Under the integrability conditions (1.1), (1.6) and (1.7), for any $d\in\mathbb{N}^*$, $(t_1,...,t_d)\in[0,1]^d$, $F\in\mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$(3.2)\quad \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{|u|=n}\mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}F(V(u,t_1),...,V(u,t_d))=\mathbf{E}\left(F(\sigma R_{t_1},...,R_{t_d})\right).\qquad \textit{in Probability.}$$

To lightening the notations the vectors $(t_1,...,t_d)\in[0,1]^d$ and $(R_{t_1},...,R_{t_d})\in\mathbb{R}^d$ will be denoted respectively by $\mathbf{t}$ and $R_{\mathbf{t}}$.

Proof of (3.2) implies the convergence of the finite dimensional laws. Let $C_{b,d}(\mathcal{C},\mathbb{R}^+)$ the subset of $C_b(\mathcal{C},\mathbb{R}^+)$ which contains the function F such that there exists $\mathbf{t}:=(t_1,...,t_d)$ and $F_{\mathbf{t}}:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$F(w) = F_{\mathbf{t}}(w(t_1), ..., w(t_d)), \qquad \forall w \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Similarly we define $C_{b,d}(\mathcal{C}^2, \mathbb{R}^+)$. The equality (1.2) means that $\forall F \in C_{b,d}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{R}^+)$

$$\mu_n(F) \to \mathbf{E}(F(R)), \qquad \text{in Probability.}$$

Thus $\forall F, G \in (C_{b,d}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{R}^+))^2$, $\mathbf{E}(\mu_n(F)\mu_n(G)) \to \mathbf{E}(F(R))\mathbf{E}(G(R))$, so we deduce that for any $F \in C_{b,d}(\mathcal{C}^2, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $\mathbf{E}(\mu_n \otimes \mu_n(F)) = \mathbb{W}^+ \otimes \mathbb{W}^+(F)$, which is exactly the desired result.

□

So we can turn now to the proof of (3.2).

3.1 Proof of (3.2)

$\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathbf{P}$ on the set of non-extinction. Moreover, on $\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}$, we remove any particles which are lower than a barrier positioned at $-\alpha$. The advantage is that this barrier can significantly concentrate around their mean the random variables related to W_n, D_n.... Also following the powerful method of [2], we prove first a result under the probability measure $\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}$. In others word for $F \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and any $\alpha \geq 0$ we must show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}} \left(\frac{1}{{W_n^{(\alpha)}}^2} \left(\sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(u) \geq -\alpha\}} [F(V(u,\mathbf{t})) - \mathbf{E}(F(\sigma R_{\mathbf{t}}))] \right)^2 \right) = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof of (3.3). First we need some extra notation to reduce the size of our equation. In the following we will fix $\mathbf{t} := (t_1, ..., t_k)$ and we will denote

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\mathbf{t}}(u) &:= (V(u,t_1), ..., V(u,t_k)), \\ \overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(z)) &:= F(V_{\mathbf{t}}(z)) - \mathbf{E}(F(\sigma R_{\mathbf{t}})). \end{aligned}$$

$\overline{F}$ is not a positive function but stays bounded and as well $|\frac{1}{W_n^{(\alpha)}} \sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(u)\geq -\alpha\}} \overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(u))| \leq 2||F||_\infty$. Thus we will can restrict the calculation of our second moment to any set with probability close enough to 1.

Following [2] we define

$$W_n^{(\alpha),F} := \sum_{|x|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(x)\geq -\alpha\}} \overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(x)). \tag{3.4}$$

For each vertex x with $|x| = n$ and $x \neq w_n^{(\alpha)}$, there is a unique i with $0 \leq i < n$ such that $w_i^{(\alpha)} \leq x$ ant that $w_{i+1}^{(\alpha)} \nleq x$. For any $i \geq 1$, let

$$R_i^{(\alpha)} := \left\{ |x| = i : x > w_{i-1}^{(\alpha)}, x \neq w_i^{(\alpha)} \right\}.$$

Accordingly,

$$W_n^{(\alpha),F} = \mathrm{e}^{-V(w_n^{(\alpha)})} \overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(w_n^{(\alpha)})) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{y \in R_{i+1}^{(\alpha)}} \sum_{|x|=n, x \geq y} \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(x) \geq -\alpha\}} \overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(x)).$$

Let $k_n < n$ be an integer such that $k_n \to \infty (n \to \infty)$. We write

$$W_n^{(\alpha),F,[0,k_n)} := \sum_{i=0}^{k_n-1} \sum_{y \in R_{i+1}^{(\alpha)}} \sum_{|x|=n, x \geq y} \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(x) \geq -\alpha\}} \overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(x)),$$

$$W_n^{(\alpha),F,[k_n,n]} := \mathrm{e}^{-V(w_n^{(\alpha)})} \overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(w_n^{(\alpha)})) + \sum_{i=k_n}^{n-1} \sum_{y \in R_{i+1}^{(\alpha)}} \sum_{|x|=n, x \geq y} \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)} \mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(x) \geq -\alpha\}} \overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(x)),$$

so that $W_n^{(\alpha),F} = W_n^{(\alpha),F,[0,k_n)} + W_n^{(\alpha),F,[k_n,n]}$. We define $W_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}$, $D_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}$ and $W_n^{(\alpha),[k_n,n]}$, $D_n^{(\alpha),[k_n,n]}$ similarly. Let also

$$E_{n,1} := \{k_n^{1/3} \leq V(w_{k_n}^{(\alpha)}) \leq k_n\} \cap \bigcap_{i=k_n}^{n} \{V(w_i^{(\alpha)}) \geq k_n^{\frac{1}{6}}\},$$

$$E_{n,2} := \bigcap_{i=k_n}^{n-1} \left\{ \sum_{y \in R_{i+1}^{(\alpha)}} [1 + (V(y) - V(w_i^{(\alpha)}))^+] \mathrm{e}^{-[V(y) - V(w_i^{\alpha})]} \leq \mathrm{e}^{V(w_i^{(\alpha)})/2} \right\},$$

$$E_{n,3} := \left\{ D_n^{(\alpha),[k_n,n]} \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \right\}.$$

and

$$E_n := E_{n,1} \cap E_{n,2} \cap E_{n,3}. \tag{3.5}$$

The previous definitions are justified by the following important Lemma :

Lemma 3.1 (Aidékon and Shi [2]) *Let $\alpha \geq 0$. Let k_n be such that $\frac{k_n}{\log^6 n} \to \infty$ and that $\frac{k_n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} \to 0$, $n \to \infty$. Let E_n be as in (3.5). Then*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}(E_n) = 1, \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{u \in [k_n^{\frac{1}{3}}, k_n]} \mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)} \left(E_n | V(w_{k_n}^{(\alpha)}) = u \right) = 1.$$

We will also need to $A_n(\epsilon) = A_n := \left\{\left|\frac{D_n^{(\alpha)}}{W_n^{(\alpha)}} - \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\theta}\right| < \epsilon\sqrt{n}\right\} \cap \left\{W_n^{(\alpha)} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}$. With Proposition 4.1 of [2] and Lemma 3.1 just ahead it is not hard to see that $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}(A_n(\epsilon)) = 1$.

Now we can start our calculation. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ respectively large and small enough, study the expectation in (1.2) is equivalent to study :

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{\left(\sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(u)\geq-\alpha\}}\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(u))\right)^2}{{W_n^{(\alpha)}}^2}\mathbb{1}_{A_n}\right) \leq cn\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{\left(\sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(u)\geq-\alpha\}}\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(u))\right)^2}{{D_n^{(\alpha)}}^2}\mathbb{1}_{A_n}\right)$$

$$\leq cn\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A_n}\sum_{|y|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(y)}\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(y)\geq-\alpha\}}\frac{h_\alpha(V(y))}{D_n^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(y))}{h_\alpha(V(y))}\sum_{|z|=n}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-V(z)}}{D_n^{(\alpha)}}\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(z)\geq-\alpha\}}\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(z))\right)\right).$$

We recognize the expression of $\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}\left(w_n^{(\alpha)} = u|\mathcal{F}_n\right)$ as described in Proposition **??**, thus

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{\left(\sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(u)\geq-\alpha\}}\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(u))\right)^2}{{W_n^{(\alpha)}}^2}\mathbb{1}_{A_n}\right) \leq cn\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A_n}\frac{\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}\frac{W_n^{(\alpha),F}}{D_n^{(\alpha)}}\right)$$

We define $I_n := n\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{A_n}\frac{\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}\frac{W_n^{(\alpha),F}}{D_n^{(\alpha)}}\right)$. To achieve our proof we need to exploit the fact that $\frac{W_n^{(\alpha),F}}{D_n^{(\alpha)}}$ and $\frac{\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}$ are almost independent when n is large enough. The two following Lemma help to make rigorous this affirmation.

Lemma 3.2 *For any $\alpha \geq 0$, $\frac{k_n}{\log^6 n} \to \infty$ and that $\frac{k_n}{n^{1/2}} \to 0$. Let E_n be as in (3.5). Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}|I_n - J_n| = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

with

$$J_n := n\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left[\mathbb{1}_{E_n}\frac{\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}\frac{W_n^{(\alpha),F}}{D_n^{(\alpha)}}\right].$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. We simply observe that

$$|I_n - J_n| \leq cn\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left[\frac{\mathbb{1}_{E_n^c} + \mathbb{1}_{A_n^c}}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}\frac{W_n^{(\alpha)}}{D_n^{(\alpha)}}\right].$$

with $\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}(A_n^c) + \mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}(E_n^c)$ which converges to zero. By Cauchy-Schwartz,

$$|I_n - J_n| \leq cn \sqrt{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}} \left(\frac{(\mathbb{1}_{E_n^c} + \mathbb{1}_{A_n^c})^2}{(h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)})))^2} \right)} \sqrt{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}} \left(\left(\frac{W_n^{(\alpha)}}{D_n^{(\alpha)}} \right)^2 \right)}.$$

At last by Lemma 4.3 [2] (pp14) $\sqrt{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}} \left(\frac{(\mathbb{1}_{E_n^c} + \mathbb{1}_{A_n^c})^2}{(h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)})))^2} \right)} = o(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ and by Lemma 4.4 in [2] (pp15) $\sqrt{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}} \left(\left(\frac{W_n^{(\alpha)}}{D_n^{(\alpha)}} \right)^2 \right)} = O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ which ends the proof. □

Lemma 3.3 *For any* $\alpha \geq 0$, $\frac{k_n}{\log^6 n} \to \infty$ *and that* $\frac{k_n}{n^{1/2}} \to 0$. *Let* E_n *be as in (3.5). Then*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |J_n - K_n| = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

with

$$K_n := n \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}} \left[\frac{W_n^{(\alpha),F,[0,k_n)}}{D_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}} \mathbb{1}_{\{V(w_{k_n}^{(\alpha)}) \in [k_n^{\frac{1}{3}}, k_n]\}} \frac{\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))} \right].$$

Proof of Lemma 3.3. By the triangular inequality $|J_n - K_n| \leq (1) + (2) + (3)$ with first

$$(1) := n \left| \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}} \left(\mathbb{1}_{E_n} \frac{\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))} \frac{W_n^{(\alpha),F,[k_n,n]}}{D_n^{(\alpha)}} \right) \right| \leq n \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}} \left(\frac{c \mathbb{1}_{E_n}}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))} \frac{W_n^{(\alpha),F,[k_n,n]}}{D_n^{(\alpha)}} \right)$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}} \left[\frac{c}{\epsilon h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))} \right] = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

then

$$(2) := n \left| \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}} \left[\frac{W_n^{(\alpha),F,[0,k_n)}}{D_n^{(\alpha)}} \frac{\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(w_n^{(\alpha)})) \mathbb{1}_{E_n}}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)})} \right] - \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}} \left[\frac{W_n^{(\alpha),F,[0,k_n)}}{D_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}} \frac{\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(w_n^{(\alpha)})) \mathbb{1}_{E_n}}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))} \right] \right|$$
$$\leq n \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}} \left[\frac{D_n^{(\alpha),[k_n,n)} W_n^{(\alpha),F,[0,k_n)}}{D_n^{(\alpha)} D_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}} \frac{c \mathbb{1}_{E_n}}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))} \right] = O(\frac{1}{n}),$$

and finally

$$(3) := \left| n \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}} \left[\frac{W_n^{(\alpha),F,[0,k_n)}}{D_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}} \frac{\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(w_n^{(\alpha)})) \mathbb{1}_{E_n}}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))} \right] - K_n \right|.$$

To obtain Lemma 3.3 we need to show $(3) = o(1)$.

We introduce the σ-fields $\mathcal{G}_p := \sigma\left(\{V(w_k^{(\alpha)}), V(z), z \in R_k^{(\alpha)},\ k \le p\}\right)$ and $\mathcal{H}_p := \sigma\Big(\{V(u), |u| \le p\ , u > z,\ \text{ for some } z \in \underset{k\le p}{\cup} R_k^{(\alpha)}\}\Big)$ and the set $O_n := \bigcap_{k=k_n}^{n} \{V(w_i^{(\alpha)}) \ge k_n^{\frac{1}{6}}\} \bigcap E_{n,1} \bigcap E_{n,2}$ (observe that $E_n = O_n \cap \{V(w_n^{(\alpha)}) \in [k_n^{1/3}, k_n]\}$). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| n\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left[\frac{W_n^{(\alpha),F,[0,k_n)}}{D_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}}\frac{\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(w_n^{(\alpha)}))\mathbb{1}_{E_n}}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}\right] - K_n\right| \\
\le\ & cn\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left[\frac{W_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}}{D_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}}\frac{\mathbb{1}_{\{V(w_{k_n}^{(\alpha)})\in[k_n^{\frac{1}{3}},k_n]\}}\mathbb{1}_{O_n^c}}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}\right] \\
=\ & cn\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left[\frac{W_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}}{D_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}}\mathbb{1}_{\{V(w_{k_n}^{(\alpha)})\in[k_n^{\frac{1}{3}},k_n]\}}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{\mathbb{1}_{O_n^c}}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}|\mathcal{G}_{k_n}\bigvee\mathcal{H}_{k_n}\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

By the branching property, $\frac{\mathbb{1}_{O_n^c}}{h_\alpha(V(w_{n-k_n}^{(\alpha)}))}$ is independent of $\mathcal{G}_{k_n}\bigvee\mathcal{H}_{k_n}$ conditionally at $V(w_{k_n}^{(\alpha)})$. So we have

$$(3)\quad \le\ cn\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{W_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}}{D_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}}\mathbb{1}_{\{V(w_{k_n}^{(\alpha)})\in[k_n^{\frac{1}{3}},k_n]\}}\right)\sup_{u\in[k_n^{\frac{1}{3}},k_n]}\mathbf{E}_u^{(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{h_\alpha(V(w_{n-k_n}^{(\alpha)}))}\mathbb{1}_{O_n^c}\right).$$

Now, [2] (see (4.9) p21) gives

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\sqrt{n}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{W_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}}{D_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}}\mathbb{1}_{\{V(w_{k_n}^{(\alpha)})\in[k_n^{\frac{1}{3}},k_n]\}}\right)\le\theta, \tag{3.8}$$

moreover the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality then Lemma 5.4 (Appendix) and Lemma 3.1 imply

$$\sqrt{n}\mathbf{E}_u^{(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{h_\alpha(V(w_{n-k_n}^{(\alpha)}))}\mathbb{1}_{O_n^c}\right)\ \le\ \sqrt{n}\sqrt{\mathbf{E}_u^{(\alpha)}\left(\frac{c}{(h_\alpha(V(w_{n-k_n}^{(\alpha)})))^2}\right)}\sqrt{\mathbf{Q}_u^{(\alpha)}(O_n^c)}\le c\sqrt{\mathbf{Q}_u^{(\alpha)}(O_n^c)}\underset{n\to\infty}{\to}0.$$

So the proof of the Lemma is completed. □

The proof of (3.3) is almost complete, it remains to control K_n by using the Markov property. We suppose that n is large enough for $k_n \leq \min_{i \in [1,d]} t_i n$.

$$K_n = n\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}} \left[\frac{W_n^{(\alpha),F,[0,k_n)}}{D_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}} \mathbb{1}_{\{A_n,\, V(w_{k_n}^{(\alpha)}) \in [k_n^{\frac{1}{3}}, k_n]\}} \frac{\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))} \right] \overset{Markov}{\leq}$$

$$cn\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}} \left(\frac{W_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}}{D_n^{(\alpha),[0,k_n)}} \mathbb{1}_{\{A_n,\, V(w_{k_n}^{(\alpha)}) \in [k_n^{\frac{1}{3}}, k_n]\}} \right) \times$$

$$\sup_{u \in [k_n^{\frac{1}{3}}, k_n]} \left| \mathbf{E}_u^{(\alpha)} \left(\frac{\overline{F}\left((\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(V(w_{\lfloor nt_i \rfloor - k_n}^{(\alpha)}) + (nt_i - \lfloor nt_i \rfloor) \xi_{\lfloor nt_i \rfloor + 1 - k_n}^{w_n^{(\alpha)}}))_{i \in [1,d]} \right)}{h_\alpha(V(w_{n-k_n}^{(\alpha)}))} \right) \right|,$$

where $\xi_k^u := V(u_{k+1}) - V(u_k)$ for any $u \in \mathbb{T}$, $|u| \geq k+1$. By [2] equation (4.9), the first term in the previous product is lower than $\frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}$. Let's study the second term. We fix $u \in [k_n^{\frac{1}{3}}, k_n]$ and observe that

$$\mathbf{E}_u^{(\alpha)} \left(\frac{\overline{F}\left((\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(V(w_{\lfloor nt_i \rfloor - k_n}^{(\alpha)}) + (nt_i - \lfloor nt_i \rfloor) \xi_{\lfloor nt_i \rfloor + 1 - k_n}^{w_n^{(\alpha)}}))_{i \in [1,d]} \right)}{h_\alpha(V(w_{n-k_n}^{(\alpha)})} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{h_\alpha(u)} \mathbf{E} \left(\overline{F} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} [u + S_{\lfloor nt_i \rfloor - k_n} + (nt_i - \lfloor nt_i \rfloor) \xi_{\lfloor nt_i \rfloor + 1 - k_n}]_{i \in [1,d]} \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{S}_{n-k_n} + u \geq -\alpha\}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{h_\alpha(u)} \mathbf{E} \left(\overline{F} \left(\frac{\Delta \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{t}}}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} [u + S_{\lfloor (n-k_n) t_1 \rfloor}]_{i \in [1,d]} \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{S}_{n-k_n} + u \geq -\alpha\}} \right),$$

with $\Delta \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{t}} = ((nt_i - \lfloor nt_i \rfloor) \xi_{\lfloor nt_j \rfloor + 1 - k_n} + \xi_{\lfloor nt_j \rfloor + 1 - k_n} + \ldots + \xi_{\lfloor (n-k_n) t_j \rfloor + 1} - (\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-k_n}}) \frac{k_n}{\sqrt{n-k_n}} [u + S_{\lfloor (n-k_n) t_1 \rfloor}])_{i \in [1,d]}$.

So provided this quantity is a $o(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ uniformly in $u \leq k_n$, we have achieved the proof of (3.3). Keeping in mind that $\overline{F} := F - \mathbf{E}(F(R))$ and recalling that a random walk conditioned to stay positive converges in law to the Brownian meander (see [16] or [10]) , if we prove in addition that the convergence is uniform in $u \leq k_n$ and $\frac{\Delta \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{t}}}{\sqrt{n}}$ may be neglected the result follows. We refer to Lemma 5.1 (Appendix) to check that these assertions are true. So our study under $\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}$ is completed and we turn now to the proof of (3.2). $\square$

Proof of (3.2). Let $\epsilon > 0$. Under $\mathbf{P}$ we know that $\inf_{|x|=n} V(x) \to +\infty$ $\mathbf{P}$ a.s. Then $\mathbf{P}\left(\bigcup_{k \geq 1} \Omega_k \right) = 1$, with $\Omega_k := \left\{ \inf_{|x| \geq 0} V(x) \geq -k \right\}$. Let $k \geq 0$ such that $\mathbf{P}(\Omega_k) \geq 1 - \epsilon$. We know also that $\mathbf{P}$-a.s $\lim_{n \to \infty} D_n \to D_\infty > 0$. For the behavior of $D_n^{(\alpha)}$, we observe that according to (2.4), there exists a constant $M = M(\epsilon) > 0$ sufficiently large such that

$$c_0(1-\epsilon)u \leq h_0(u) \leq c_0(1+\epsilon)u, \qquad \forall u \geq M.$$

We fix our choice of α from now on : $\alpha := k_0 + M$. Since $h_\alpha(u) = h_0(u+\alpha)$, we have, on $\Omega_k, 0 < c_0(1-\epsilon)(V(x)+\alpha) \leq h_\alpha(V(x)) \leq c_0(1+\epsilon)(V(x)+\alpha)$ (for all vertices x), so that on Ω_k,

$$0 < c_0(1-\epsilon)(D_n + \alpha W_n) \leq D_n^{(\alpha)} \leq c_0(1+\epsilon)(D_n + \alpha W_n),\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.9}$$

Similarly there exists $\eta > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ respectively small and large enough such that the probability of $A_N^{(2)}(\eta) := \left\{\forall n > N,\ |D_n - D_\infty| \leq \frac{\eta}{2}\right\} \cap \{D_\infty \in [\eta, \frac{1}{\eta}]\}$ is larger that $1-\epsilon$. In summary for any $\alpha \geq k$ and $n > N$,

$$\mathbf{P}(A_N^{(2)}(\eta) \cap \Omega_k) \geq 1 - 3\epsilon \text{ and on } A_N^{(2)}(\eta) \cap \Omega_k \qquad W_n^{(\alpha)} = W_n,\ W_n^{(\alpha),F} = W_n^F,\ \ D_n^{(\alpha)} \geq \frac{\eta}{2}. \tag{3.10}$$

Then we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\frac{1}{W_n^2}\left(\sum_{|z|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(z))\right)^2\right) \leq \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\frac{1}{W_n^2}\left(\sum_{|z|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(z))\right)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\Omega_k \cap A_N^{(2)}(\eta)\}}\right) + 3\epsilon \\
&= \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{1}{D_n^{(\alpha)}(W_n^{(\alpha)})^2}\left(\sum_{|z|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(u)\geq -\alpha\}}\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(z))\right)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\Omega_k \cap A_N^{(2)}(\eta)\}}\right) + 3\epsilon \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\eta}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{1}{(W_n^{(\alpha)})^2}\left(\sum_{|z|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(u)\geq -\alpha\}}\overline{F}(V_{\mathbf{t}}(z))\right)^2\right) + 3\epsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

which is smaller that 4ϵ for n large enough according to (3.3). □

3.2 A extension of Theorem 1.2

As we will see in the next section, to prove Theorem 1.1 we will need a slightly extension of Theorem 1.2. Formally, it corresponds to the case where $F(f) = \mathrm{e}^{f(1)}$, $\forall f \in \mathcal{C}$:

Proposition 3.4 *The following equality is true in probability,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{W_n} \sum_{|z|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(z)} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{V(z)}{\sqrt{n}}} = \mathbf{E}(\mathrm{e}^{\sigma R_1}), \tag{3.11}$$

where R_1 denotes a Brownian meander at time 1.

Proof of Proposition 3.4. The trivial observation, $\mathrm{e}^x = \mathrm{e}^x \mathbb{1}_{\{x<p\}} + \mathrm{e}^x \mathbb{1}_{\{x \geq p\}}$ lead to study for p large,

$\frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{V(u)}{\sqrt{n}}}\mathbb{1}_{\{\frac{V(u)}{\sqrt{n}}\geq p\}}$ under $\mathbf{P}$ and $\frac{1}{W_n^{(\alpha)}}\sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{V(u)}{\sqrt{n}}}\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(z)\geq -\alpha,\ \frac{V(u)}{\sqrt{n}}\geq p\}}$ under $\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}$.

According to [2] the probability of the event $A_n^{(3)} := \left\{\frac{D_n^{(\alpha)}}{W_n^{(\alpha)}} - \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\theta} \leq \sqrt{n}\right\}$ converges to 1 when n tend to ∞. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. For n large enough, we have

$$\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{W_n^{(\alpha)}}\sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{V(u)}{\sqrt{n}}}\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(z)\geq -\alpha,\ \frac{V(u)}{\sqrt{n}}\geq p\}} \geq \epsilon\right) \leq \epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{\sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{V(u)}{\sqrt{n}}}\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(z)\geq -\alpha,\ \frac{V(u)}{\sqrt{n}}\geq p\}}}{W_n^{(\alpha)}}\mathbb{1}_{A_n^{(3)}}\right).$$

Moreover by the Proposition **??**,

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{1}{W_n^{(\alpha)}}\sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{V(u)}{\sqrt{n}}}\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(z)\geq -\alpha,\ \frac{V(u)}{\sqrt{n}}\geq p\}}\mathbb{1}_{A_n^{(3)}}\right) = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{V(w_n^{(\alpha)})}{\sqrt{n}}}\mathbb{1}_{\{\frac{V(w_n^{(\alpha)})}{\sqrt{n}}\geq p\}}}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}\frac{D_n^{(\alpha)}}{W_n^{(\alpha)}}\mathbb{1}_{A_n^{(3)}}\right)$$

$$\leq c\sqrt{n}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{1}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{V(w_n^{(\alpha)})}{\sqrt{n}}}\mathbb{1}_{\{\frac{V(w_n^{(\alpha)})}{\sqrt{n}}\geq p\}}\right) = c\sqrt{n}\mathbf{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}},\ \underline{S}_n \geq 0,\ S_n \geq p\sqrt{n}\right),$$

which is lower than $\frac{c}{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{p}{4}}}$ by Lemma 5.2 (Appendix). We conclude that

$$\lim_{p\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{W_n^{(\alpha)}}\sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{V(u)}{\sqrt{n}}}\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{V}(z)\geq -\alpha,\ \frac{V(u)}{\sqrt{n}}\geq p\}} = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{Q}^{(\alpha)} \text{ Probability.}$$

This equality is true for any $\alpha \geq 0$. Inspiring by [2] p22-23 (or our previous reasoning p 16), we deduce easily that

$$\lim_{p\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{V(u)}{\sqrt{n}}}\mathbb{1}_{\{\frac{V(u)}{\sqrt{n}}\geq p\}} = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{P} \text{ Probability.}$$

At last as it is clear by Theorem 1.2 that

$$\lim_{p\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{V(u)}{\sqrt{n}}}\mathbb{1}_{\{\frac{V(u)}{\sqrt{n}}\leq p\}} = \mathbf{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\sigma R_1}\right) \text{ in } \mathbf{P} \text{ Probability.}$$

We deduce the desired convergence in probability of Proposition 3.4. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The strategy of proof is as follows : let $\alpha := 1-\beta > 0$ be small and $n := \frac{C}{\alpha^2}$ with a large constant C. Assume (1.2), for small α, $\Phi(\beta) \sim \frac{\Phi''(1)}{2}\alpha^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\beta,n} &= \sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha V(u)} \mathrm{e}^{-\Phi(\beta) n} \\ &\sim \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-\Phi''(1)}{2}C} \sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(u)} \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{C}\frac{V(u)}{\sqrt{n}}} \\ &= \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-\Phi''(1)}{2}C} W_n \frac{1}{W_n} \sum_{|z|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(z)} \mathrm{e}^{\sqrt{C}\frac{V(z)}{\sqrt{n}}}, \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.4 we know that

$$\text{(4.1)} \qquad \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{W_n} \sum_{|z|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-V(z)} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{V(z)}{\sqrt{n}}} \to \mathbf{E}(\mathrm{e}^{\sigma R_1}), \qquad \text{in probability.}$$

On the other hand, by Aidékon and Shi [2]

$$\text{(4.2)} \qquad \sqrt{n} W_n \to \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi\sigma^2}} D_\infty, \qquad \text{in probability.}$$

Since $\mathbf{E}(\mathrm{e}^{\sigma\sqrt{C}R_1}) \sim \mathrm{e}^{\sigma^2\frac{C}{2}} \sqrt{C\sigma^2}\sqrt{2\pi}$ as $C \to \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\alpha} W_{\beta,n} &\sim \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\Phi''(1)}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi\sigma^2}} D_\infty \mathbf{E}(\mathrm{e}^{\sigma\sqrt{C}R_1}) \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{C}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi\sigma^2}} D_\infty \sqrt{C\sigma^2}\sqrt{2\pi}, \qquad (n := \frac{C}{\alpha^2}), \\ &\sim 2D_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we can affirm that

$$\text{(4.3)} \qquad \lim_{C\to\infty} \lim_{\beta\to 1, \beta<1} \mathbf{P}\left(\left| \frac{1}{\alpha} W_{\beta,\frac{C}{\alpha^2}} - 2D_\infty \right| > \epsilon \right) = 0, \qquad \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

Thus Theorem 1.1 follows once we prove in addition,

Lemma 4.1 *($\beta = 1-\alpha$)*

$$\limsup_{\alpha\to\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left| W_\beta - W_{\beta,\frac{C}{\alpha^2}} \right|,$$

converges in probability to 0 *as* $C \to \infty$*.*

We turn now to the proof of Lemma 4.1. A first step consists to prove the Lemma under the assumption :

(♦) *There exists* $c > 0$ *and* $\alpha_0 < 1$ *such that :* $\mathbf{E}\left(W_\beta^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) \leq c$ *for any* $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$.

The proof of (♦) is postponed to the next subsection.

Proof of Lemma 4.1 under the assumption (♦). In the following $\alpha := 1 - \beta > 0$, $n := \frac{C}{\alpha^2}$ and $p = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$. For any $u \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $|u| = n$, let $W_\beta^{(u)} := \lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \sum\limits_{|x|=n+k, x>u} \mathrm{e}^{-[V(x)-V(u)]}$. It is clear that these random variables are i.i.d with the law of W_β. We note that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}|W_\beta - W_{\beta,n}| = \frac{1}{\alpha}\left|\sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta V(u) - \Phi(\beta)n}(W_\beta^{(u)} - 1)\right| := \xi_n. \tag{4.4}$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and set $\tilde{\xi}_n := \mathbf{E}(\xi^p|\mathcal{F}_n)$ we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(\xi_n \geq \epsilon) &= \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\xi_n^p \geq \epsilon^p}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\xi}_n \geq \epsilon^{1+p}} + \mathbb{1}_{\tilde{\xi}_n < \epsilon^{1+p}}\right)\right) \\ &\overset{\text{Markov inequality}}{\leq} \mathbf{P}\left(\tilde{\xi}_n \geq \epsilon^{1+p}\right) + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

As $(W_\beta^{(u)} - 1)_{|u|=n}$ form a sequence of independent random variables with 0 mean, by Petrov [23] ex 2.6.20,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{P}}(\xi_n^p|\mathcal{F}_n) &\leq \frac{2}{\alpha^p}\sum_{|u|=n} \mathrm{e}^{-p\beta V(u) - p\Phi(\beta)n}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{P}}\left(|W_\beta - 1|^p\right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha^p} W_{p\beta,n}\mathrm{e}^{n(\Phi(p\beta) - p\Phi(\beta))}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{P}}\left(|W_\beta - 1|^p\right). \end{aligned}$$

For $\beta \to 1$, $\Phi(\beta) \sim \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(1-\beta)^2$ and $p\beta \sim 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(p\beta) - p\Phi(\beta) &= \frac{\sigma^2}{2}[(1 - p\beta)^2 - p(1-\beta)^2] + o(\alpha^2) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{2}[\alpha^2/4 - \alpha^2] + o(\alpha^2) \\ &= -\frac{3}{8}\alpha^2\sigma^2 + o(\alpha^2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover as $\alpha^p = \alpha.\alpha^{\alpha/2} \sim \alpha$ and according to (4.3),

$$W_{p\beta}(n) \sim \frac{\alpha}{2}2D_\infty, \qquad \text{in Probability.}$$

We deduce,

$$\mathbf{E_P}(\xi_n^p|\mathcal{F}_n) \le c\mathbf{E_P}(W_\beta^p)\partial W_\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{3}{8}\sigma^2 C}, \qquad \text{in Probability.} \tag{4.5}$$

which tend to zero when $C \to \infty$. Therefore for $\alpha \to 0$ and C large enough,

$$\mathbf{P}(\xi_n \ge \epsilon) \le 2\epsilon. \tag{4.6}$$

The Lemma is proved. $\square$.

4.1 Proof of the assumption (♦)

We recall the statement :
(♦) *There exists* $c > 0$ *and* $\alpha_0 < 1$ *such that :* $\mathbf{E}\left(W_\beta^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) \le c$ *for any* $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$.

Thanks to (1.3), this assumption is a trivial consequence of the following Lemma :

Lemma 4.2 *There exists* $c > 0$ *such that,*

$$\mathbf{E_P}(W_\beta^{1+\alpha/2}) \le c\mathbf{E_P}\left(W_{\beta,1}^{1+\epsilon_0}\right), \qquad \forall \beta \le 1.$$

with ϵ_0 *the constant which appears in (1.2).*

Proof. We fix $0 \le \beta \le 1$ and denote $p = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$. In the following the constant c which may appear will not depend of β. By Biggins [6] we know that $\mathbf{E}(W_\beta^p)$ is finite if $\mathbf{E}(W_{\beta,1}^p)$ is too. So we just need an uniformly bound. We recall the definition of $\mathbf{Q}_\beta := W_\beta.\mathbf{P}$. Therefore study $\mathbf{E}(W_\beta^p)$ is equivalent at the study of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}(W_\beta^{p-1})$. By the "spine" decomposition we observe that under $\mathbf{Q}_\beta$,

$$\begin{aligned} W_\beta &= \sum_{|u|=1} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta V(u) - \Phi(\beta)} W_\beta(u) \\ &= \mathrm{e}^{-\beta S_1 - \Phi(\beta)} \tilde{W}_\beta^{(1)} + \sum_{v \ne w_1,\, |v|=1} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta V(v) - \Phi(\beta)} W_\beta(v), \end{aligned}$$

$\tilde{W}_\beta^{(1)}$ is a copy of W_β and $(W_\beta(u))_{|v|=1,\, w \ne w_1}$ are i.i.d. with the law of W_β under $\mathbf{P}$. We introduce $B_i := \sum\limits_{v \ne w_i} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta V(v) - \Phi(\beta)} W_\beta(v)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$. If we iterate N times, we obtain

$$W_\beta = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta S_k - \Phi(\beta)k} B_{k+1} + \mathrm{e}^{-\beta S_N - \Phi(\beta)N} \tilde{W}_\beta^{(n)}, \tag{4.7}$$

The random variables $\mathrm{e}^{-\beta S_k - \Phi(\beta)k}$ are not independent of B_k but $\tilde{W}_\beta^{(n)}$ is independent of all the other variables. By convexity,

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}(W_\beta^{p-1}) \leq \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}\left(\left[\sum_{k=0}^{N} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta S_k - \Phi(\beta)k} B_{k+1}\right]^{p-1}\right) + \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}(\mathrm{e}^{-\beta(p-1)S_N - (p-1)\Phi(\beta)N})\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}(W_\beta^{p-1}),$$

which lead to

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}(W_\beta^{p-1}) \leq \frac{1}{1-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}(\mathrm{e}^{-\beta(p-1)S_N - \Phi(\beta)(p-1)N})}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}\left(\left[\sum_{k=0}^{N} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta S_k - \Phi(\beta)k} B_{k+1}\right]^{p-1}\right).$$

Otherwise,

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}(\mathrm{e}^{-\beta S_N - \Phi(\beta)N}) = \mathrm{e}^{[\Phi(\beta p) - p\Phi(\beta)]N}.$$

Moreover as $\Phi(\beta p) - p\Phi(\beta) = -\frac{3}{8}\sigma^2\alpha^2 + o(\alpha^2)$, we deduce that for $N = \lfloor \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \rfloor$ we have

$$1 - \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}(\mathrm{e}^{-\beta(p-1)S_N - \Phi(\beta)(p-1)N}) \geq \frac{1}{c} > 0,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}(W_\beta^{p-1}) &\leq c\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}\left(\left[\sum_{k=0}^{N} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta S_k - \Phi(\beta)k} B_{k+1}\right]^{p-1}\right) \\ &\leq N^{p-1} \times \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}\left(\max_{k\leq N} \mathrm{e}^{(-\beta S_k - \Phi(\beta)k)(p-1)} \max_{k\leq N} B_{k+1}^{p-1}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Also as $p-1 = \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $N^{p-1} \leq c$ and by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}(W_\beta^{p-1}) \leq \sqrt{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}\left(\max_{k\leq N} \mathrm{e}^{(-\beta S_k - \Phi(\beta)k)\alpha}\right)\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}\left(\max_{k\leq N} B_{k+1}^{\alpha}\right)}.$$

We have to bound the two term of the product. Let's start by the first. We define the random walk $\eta_k := \alpha(-\beta S_k - \Phi(\beta)k)$. Looking as $t_0(\alpha)$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}(\mathrm{e}^{t_0\eta_1}) = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-t_0\beta\alpha S_1 - t_0\alpha\Phi(\beta)}\right) = \mathrm{e}^{\Phi(\beta(1+t_0\alpha)) - \Phi(\beta)(1+t_0\alpha)} = 1,$$

as an elementary calculation leads to

$$\Phi(\beta(1+t_0\alpha)) - \Phi(\beta)(1+t_0\alpha) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\alpha^2((t_0-1)^2-1) + o(\alpha^2),$$

it is clear that $t_0(\alpha) \to 2 > 1$ when $\alpha \to 0$. It stems that

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\max_{k\leq n}\eta_k > x\right) = \mathbf{P}\left(\max_{k\leq n}\mathrm{e}^{t_0\eta_k} \leq \mathrm{e}^{t_0 x}\right) \overset{Doob}{\leq} \frac{\mathbf{E}(\mathrm{e}^{t_0\eta_1})}{\mathrm{e}^{t_0 x}} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{t_0 x}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\left(\max_{k\leq n}\mathrm{e}^{\eta_k}\right) \leq 1 + \int_1^\infty \mathbf{P}\left(\max_{k\leq n}\mathrm{e}^{\eta_k} \geq x\right)dx &= 1 + \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^u \mathbf{P}\left(\max_{k\leq n}\eta_k \geq u\right)du \\ &\leq 1 + \int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{(1-t_0)u}du < c < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Now we will bound $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}\left(\max_{k\leq N} B_{k+1}^\alpha\right)$. We note that $(B_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of random variables i.i.d.. Moreover for any $t > \frac{1}{\epsilon_0}$ (we refer to the ϵ_0 from (1.2)) by convexity,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}\left(\left(\sum_{v\neq w_1}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta V(u)-\Phi(\beta)}W_\beta^{(u)}\right)^{\frac{1}{t}}\right) &\leq \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}\left(\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}\left[\sum_{v\neq w_1}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta V(u)-\Phi(\beta)}W_\beta^{(u)}|\mathcal{F}_1\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{t}}\right) \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_\beta}\left(\left(\sum_{v\neq w_1}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta V(u)-\Phi(\beta)}\right)^{\frac{1}{t}}\right)\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{P}}(W_\beta^{(u)})^{\frac{1}{t}} \\ &\leq \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{P}}\left(W_{\beta,1}^{1+\frac{1}{t}}\right) \leq \sup_{\beta\in[1-\delta_-,1]}\mathbf{E}\left(W_{b,1}^{1+\epsilon_0}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the following lemma is sufficient to conclude,

Lemma 4.3 *Let* $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *a sequence of random variables i.i.d in* $\mathbb{R}^+$ *such that* $\mathbf{E}(X_1) < \infty$. *Then for any* $s > 1$, *there exists* N *large enough such that*

$$\mathbf{E}((\max_{i\leq n} X_i)^{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}})) < 3 + \mathbf{E}(X_1), \qquad \forall n \geq N. \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. Let $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty \mathbf{P}\left((\max_{i\leq n}X_i)^{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}} \geq t\right)dt &= \int_0^2 \mathbf{P}\left((\max_{i\leq n}X_i)^{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}} \geq t\right) + \int_2^\infty \mathbf{P}\left((\max_{i\leq n}X_i)^{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}} \geq t\right) \\ &\leq 2 + n\int_2^\infty \mathbf{P}(X_i \geq t^{\frac{\sqrt{n}}{s}})dt.\end{aligned}$$

By a trivial change of variable,

$$\mathbf{E}((\max_{i\leq n} X_i)^{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}})) \leq 2 + ns\int_{2^{\sqrt{n}/s}}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(X_i \geq u)\frac{u^{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}}}{\sqrt{n}u}du \quad \leq \quad 2 + s\frac{2\sqrt{n}}{2^{\sqrt{n}/s}}\int_{2^{\sqrt{n}/s}}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(X_i > u)du$$
$$\leq \quad 2 + s\frac{2\sqrt{n}}{2^{\sqrt{n}/s}}\mathbf{E}(X_1).$$

which is lower than 3 for n large enough. □

5 Appendix

We use the convenient notation, $S_{tn} := S_{\lfloor tn \rfloor}$ for any $t \in [0,1]$. We also need an extension of a result in Aidékon and Jaffuel [18] :

Lemma 5.1 *Let (b_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{b_n}{n^{1/2}} = 0$. Then for any $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, ..., a_d) \in [0,1]^d$ and any bounded continuous function $f : [0,\infty)^d \to \mathbb{R}$, we have, as $n \to \infty$,*

$$\mathbf{P}^x\left(\frac{\Delta\mathbf{S}^o_{\mathbf{a}}}{\sqrt{n}} \notin B(0,b_n),\, \underline{S}_n \geq 0\right) = o(\frac{h_0(x)}{\sqrt{n}}) \tag{5.1}$$

uniformly in $x \in [0,b_n]$, with $\Delta\mathbf{S}^o_{\mathbf{a}} := (|\xi_{\lfloor na_j\rfloor+1-b_n} + \xi_{\lfloor na_j\rfloor+1-b_n} + ... + \xi_{\lfloor (n-b_n)t_j\rfloor+1}| + \frac{b_n}{n-b_n}|u + S_{\lfloor (n-b_n)a_i\rfloor}|)_{i\in[1,d]}$.

$$\mathbf{E}\left(f\left(\frac{S_{a_1n}+x+y}{(n\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, ..., \frac{S_{a_dn}+x+y}{(n\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{S}_n \geq -x\}}\right) = \frac{\theta h_0(x)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\mathbf{E}(f(R_{\mathbf{a}})) + o(1)\right), \tag{5.2}$$

uniformly in $x, y \in [0,b_n] \times B(0,b_n)$, with $(R_s)_{s\in[0,1]}$ a Brownian meander.

We note that $|\Delta\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{a}}| \leq |\Delta\mathbf{S}^o_{\mathbf{a}}|$.
Proof of Lemma 5.1. We start by studying (5.2). We just need a result slightly more general than that stated by Aidékon and Jaffuel [18], thus we follow their proof. If *For any $i \in [1,d]$, $\epsilon > 0$, there exists A large enough such that*

$$\sup_{x\in[0,b_n]} \mathbf{E}^x\left(\frac{S_{a_in}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} > A, \underline{S}_n \geq 0\right) \leq h_0(x)\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}. \tag{5.3}$$

is true (proof is postponed to the end of the proof), then we can suppose that f is a continuous function with compact support. By approximation, we can also assume that f is Lipschitz. We remark that,

$$\mathbf{E}^x\left[f\left(\frac{S_{a_1n}+y}{(n\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}},...,\frac{S_{a_dn}+y}{(n\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right),\underline{S}_n\geq 0\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathbf{E}^x[a_n(S_k+y,k),S_k=\underline{S}_k\geq 0],$$

with

$$a_n(z,k):=\mathbf{E}^0_{\mathbf{Q}}\left[f\left(\frac{z+S_{a_1n-k}}{(n\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}},...,\frac{z+S_{a_dn-k}}{(n\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right),\underline{S}_{n-k}\geq 0\right] \tag{5.4}$$

We have

$$a_n(z,k)\leq ||f||_\infty\mathbf{P}(\underline{S}_{n-k}\geq 0)\leq\frac{c}{\sqrt{n-k+1}}.$$

Let $(m_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of integers such that $\frac{n}{m_n}$ and $\frac{m_n}{b_n^2}$ go to infinity. We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=m_n+1}^{n}\mathbf{E}^x[a_n(S_k+y,k),S_k=\underline{S}_k\geq 0] \quad &\leq c\sum_{k=m_n+1}^{n}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-k+1}}\mathbf{P}^x(S_k=\underline{S}_k\geq 0)\\ &\overset{\text{lemme 2.2}}{\leq}\sum_{k=m_n+1}^{n}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-k+1}}\times c\frac{(x+1)^2}{k^{\frac{3}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

We see that $\sum\limits_{k=m_n+1}^{n}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-k+1}}\frac{1}{k^{\frac{3}{2}}}=O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{nm_n}})$. Similarly,

$$\sum_{k=m_n+1}^{n}\mathbf{P}^x\left(S_k=\underline{S}_k\geq 0\right) \;\leq\; c(1+x)^2\sum_{k\geq m_n}(k+1)^{-\frac{3}{2}},$$

and $\sum\limits_{k\geq m_n}(k+1)^{-\frac{3}{2}}=O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_n}})$. We get

$$\sum_{k=m_n+1}^{n}\mathbf{E}^x[a_n(S_k+y,k),S_k=\underline{S}_k\geq 0] \;\leq\; \frac{h_0(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{cb_n}{\sqrt{m_n}}, \tag{5.5}$$

$$\sum_{k=m_n+1}^{n}\mathbf{P}^x\left(S_k=\underline{S}_k\geq 0\right) \;\leq\; ch_0(x)\frac{b_n}{\sqrt{m_n}}. \tag{5.6}$$

On the other hand, since f is Lipschitz with compact support, we check that there exists $c>0$ such that, for $k\leq m_n$

$$\sup_{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{R}^d,\, z\in B(0,x)} \left| f\left(\frac{\mathbf{y}+z}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - f\left(\frac{\mathbf{y}}{\sqrt{n-k}}\right) \right| \leq c\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{k}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right).$$

From the expression (5.4), we have for any $z \in B(0,x)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| a_n(z,k) - \mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\frac{S_{a_1(n-k)}}{\sigma\sqrt{n-k}}, ..., \frac{S_{a_d(n-k)}}{\sigma\sqrt{n-k}} \right), \underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0 \right] \right| \leq \\
&\left| a_n(z,k) - \mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\frac{S_{a_1 n-k}}{\sigma\sqrt{n-k}}, ..., \frac{S_{a_d n-k}}{\sigma\sqrt{n-k}} \right), \underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0 \right] \right| \\
&\qquad \left| \mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\frac{S_{a_1 n-k}}{\sigma\sqrt{n-k}}, ..., \frac{S_{a_d n-k}}{\sigma\sqrt{n-k}} \right) - f\left(\frac{S_{a_1(n-k)}}{\sigma\sqrt{n-k}}, ..., \frac{S_{a_d(n-k)}}{\sigma\sqrt{n-k}} \right), \underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0 \right] \right| \\
&\leq c\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{A\sqrt{m_n}}{\sqrt{n-k}} + \frac{k}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \mathbf{P}(\underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0) + \mathbf{P}\left(\max_{i\in[1,d]} \xi_{a_i n-k+1} + ... + \xi_{a_i(n-k)} \geq A\sqrt{m_n},\, \underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

See at the end to check that there exists $\epsilon(A) \underset{A\to\infty}{\to} 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\max_{i\in[1,d]} \xi_{a_i n-k+1} + ... + \xi_{a_i(n-k)} \geq A\sqrt{m_n},\, \underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0 \right) \leq \mathbf{P}(\underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0)\epsilon(A), \qquad \forall k \leq m_n. \tag{5.7}$$

Finally we have obtain

$$\left| a_n(z,k) - \mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\frac{S_{a_1(n-k)}}{\sigma\sqrt{n-k}}, ..., \frac{S_{a_d(n-k)}}{\sigma\sqrt{n-k}} \right), \underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0 \right] \right| \leq c\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{k+b_n}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \epsilon(b_n) \right) \mathbf{P}(\underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0). \tag{5.8}$$

We know that $(\frac{S_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}})_{t\in[0,1]}$ conditionally to $\underline{S}_n \geq 0$ converges under $\mathbf{P}$ to the Brownian meander [10]. It implies that there exists $(\eta_k)_{k\geq 0}$ tending to zero such that

$$\left| \mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\frac{S_{a_1(n-k)}}{\sigma\sqrt{n-k}}, ..., \frac{S_{a_d(n-k)}}{\sigma\sqrt{n-k}} \right), \underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0 \right] - \mathbf{P}(\underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0)\mathbf{E}(f(R_{\mathbf{a}})) \right| \leq \eta_{n-k}\mathbf{P}(\underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0). \tag{5.9}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. For n large enough and $k \leq m_n$, we have from (2.4) $|\mathbf{P}(\underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0)) - \frac{c_0}{\sqrt{n}}| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}$. Combined with (5.8) and (5.9), this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|a_n(z,k) - \mathbf{P}(\underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0)\mathbf{E}(f(R_{\mathbf{a}}))\right| \\
&\leq \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{A\sqrt{m_n}}{\sqrt{n-k}} + \frac{k}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \epsilon(m_n)\right)\mathbf{P}(\underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0) + \eta_{n-k}\mathbf{P}(\underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0) + ||f||_\infty \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}} \\
&\leq c\left(\frac{x + A\sqrt{m_n}}{n} + \frac{k}{n^2} + \frac{\epsilon(b_n)+\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
&\leq c\left(\frac{2A\sqrt{m_n}}{n} + \frac{m_n}{n^2} + \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
&\leq c\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

for n greater than some n_1, $k \leq m_n$, $x \in [0, b_n]$ and $z \in [0, x]$. We use this inequality for every $k = 0..., m_n$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{m_n}\left|\mathbf{E}^x\left[a_n(S_k + y, k) - \frac{c_0}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{E}(f(R_{\mathbf{a}})), S_k = \underline{S}_k \geq 0\right]\right| &\leq 2c\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{k=0}^{m_n}\mathbf{P}^x(S_k = \underline{S}_k \geq 0) \\
&\leq 2c\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}h_0(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Together with (5.5) and (5.6), it yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\mathbf{E}\left(f\left(\frac{S_{a_1 n} + x + y}{(n\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, ..., \frac{S_{a_p n} + x + y}{(n\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{S}_n \geq -x\}}\right) - \frac{c_0 h_0(x)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}\mathbf{E}(f(R_{\mathbf{a}}))\right| \\
&\leq \frac{h_0(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\left(c\frac{b_n}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} + c||f||_\infty c_0\frac{d_n}{\sqrt{m_n}} + 2c\epsilon\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies equation (5.2). An attentive reader has noticed that we left out two inequalities to prove (5.2). We note that this two inequalities form also the proof of (5.1).

Firstly we have left (5.7). Let $k \leq m_n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}\left(|\xi_{a_1 n-k+1} + ... + \xi_{a_1(n-k)}| \geq A\sqrt{m_n}, \underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0\right) \\
&\leq \mathbf{P}\left(|\xi_{a_1 n-k+1} + ... + \xi_{a_1(n-k)}| \geq A\sqrt{m_n}, \underline{S}_{a_1 n-k} \geq 0\right) \\
&= \mathbf{P}\left(|\xi_1 + ... + \xi_{(1-a_1)k+1}| \geq A\sqrt{m_n}\right)\mathbf{P}\left(\underline{S}_{a_1 n-k} \geq 0\right) \\
&\leq \epsilon(A)\mathbf{P}(\underline{S}_{a_1 n-k} \geq 0) \\
&\leq c\frac{\epsilon(A)}{\sqrt{n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now secondly we need to prove :

For any $i \in [1,d]$, $\epsilon > 0$, there exists A large enough such that

$$\sup_{x\in[0,b_n]} \mathbf{E}^x\left(\frac{S_{a_i n}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} > A, \underline{S}_n \geq 0\right) \leq h_0(x)\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

By the trivial inequality

$$\sup_{x\in[0,b_n]} \mathbf{E}^x\left(\frac{S_{a_i n}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} > A, \underline{S}_n \geq 0\right) \leq \sup_{x\in[0,b_n]} \mathbf{E}^x\left(\frac{S_{a_i n}}{\sigma\sqrt{a_i n}} > \frac{A}{\sqrt{a_i}}, \underline{S}_{a_i n} \geq 0\right).$$

We can restrict our calculations to the case $a_1 = 1$. We reproduce [17]. Equivalently, we need to check that for any $x \in [0,b_n]$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathbf{E}^x\left[\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{S_{n-k+z}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} > A,\, \underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0\right)_{z=S_k}, \underline{S}_k = S_k \geq 0\right] \leq h_0(x)\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

For $k \geq m_n$, we already know from (5.5) that

$$\sum_{k=m_n+1}^{n} \mathbf{E}^x[a_n(S_k + y, k), S_k = \underline{S}_k \geq 0] \quad\leq\quad h_0(x)\frac{cb_n}{\sqrt{m_n}},$$

for n greater than some n_1 and any $w \in [0,b_n]$. Let A such that $\mathbf{P}^0\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} > A-1, \underline{S}_n \geq 0\right) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}$ for n large enough. We observe that for any $z \in [0,b_n]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}^0\left(\frac{S_{n-k}+z}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} > A,\, \underline{S}_n \geq n-k\right) &\leq \mathbf{P}^0\left(\frac{S_{n-k}}{\sigma\sqrt{n-k}} > A - \frac{b_n}{\sigma\sqrt{n}},\, \underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0\right)\\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n-k}} \leq 2\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}},\end{aligned}$$

for n large enough and $k \leq m_n$. It yields that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m_n}\mathbf{E}^x\left[\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{S_{n-k+z}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} > A,\, \underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0\right)_{z=S_k}, \underline{S}_k = S_k \geq 0\right] \leq h_0(x)\frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Therefore, there exists n_2 such that for any $n \geq n_2$, and any $x \in [0,b_n]$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathbf{E}^x\left[\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{S_{n-k+z}}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} > A,\, \underline{S}_{n-k} \geq 0\right)_{z=S_k}, \underline{S}_k = S_k \geq 0\right] \leq h_0(x)\frac{3\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}},$$

which completes the proof. □

The following lemma is a consequence of [11] (pp 8).

Lemma 5.2 *Let $(S_n)_{n\geq 0}$ a centered random walk such that $\Phi(\theta) := \mathbf{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\theta S_1}\right) = 1 + \sigma^2\frac{\theta^2}{2} + o(\theta^2)$ for θ positive and small enough (Clearly, $\sigma^2 := \mathbf{E}(S_1^2)$). Then there exists $c > 0$ such that for any $p, n \geq 0$,*

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}}\underline{S}_n \geq 0,\, S_n \geq p\sqrt{n}\right) \leq c\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{p/4}\sqrt{n}}. \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. First of all we remark that the condition on Φ implies

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{S_k}{\sqrt{n}}}\right) \leq c, \qquad \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \geq p\right) \leq \frac{c}{\mathrm{e}^p}, \quad \forall n, p \geq 0.$$

We define (T_k, H_k) the strict ascending ladder variables process associated to the random walk. We also introduce $\mathcal{C}_n := (S_1 > 0, \ldots, S_n > 0)$. The proof uses the following equality due to Caravenna [11],

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \in dx|\mathcal{C}_n\right) &= \frac{1}{n\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{C}_n)}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\int_{[0,\sqrt{n}x)}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathbf{P}\left(T_k = m,\, H_k \in dz\right)\right)\mathbf{P}\left(S_{n-m} \in \sqrt{n}dx - z\right) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{C}_n)}\int_{[0,1)\times[0,x)} d\nu_n(\alpha,\beta)\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{S_{n(1-\alpha)}}{\sqrt{n}} \in dx - \beta\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where ν_n is the finite measure on $[0,1)\times[0,\infty)$ defined by

$$\nu_n(A) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathbf{P}\left(\left(\frac{T_k}{n}, \frac{H_k}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \in A\right).$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}}\mathbb{1}_{\{S_n \geq p\sqrt{n}\}}|\mathcal{C}_n\right) &= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{C}_n)}\int_{[p,\infty)}\int_{[0,1)\times[0,x)} d\nu_n(\alpha,\beta)\mathrm{e}^x\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{S_{n(1-\alpha)}}{\sqrt{n}} \in dx - \beta\right) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{C}_n)}\int_{[0,1)\times[0,\infty)}\int_{[p,\infty)} \mathrm{e}^x\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{S_{n(1-\alpha)}}{\sqrt{n}} \in dx - \beta\right)\mathbb{1}_{\{\beta\leq x\}}d\nu_n(\alpha,\beta) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{C}_n)}\int_{[0,1)\times[0,\infty)} \mathbf{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{S_{n(1-\alpha)}}{\sqrt{n}}}\mathbb{1}_{\left\{\frac{S_{n(1-\alpha)}}{\sqrt{n}} \geq \max(0,p-\beta)\right\}}\right)d\nu_n(\alpha,\beta)
\end{aligned}$$

We note firstly that $\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{C}_n)} \leq c$ and secondly that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{S_{n(1-\alpha)}}{\sqrt{n}}}\mathbb{1}_{\left\{\frac{S_{n(1-\alpha)}}{\sqrt{n}} \geq \max(0,p-\beta)\right\}}\right) \leq c\mathbf{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}}\right) \leq c \qquad \forall(\alpha,\beta) \in [0,1)\times[0,\infty) \\
&\mathbf{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{S_{n(1-\alpha)}}{\sqrt{n}}}\mathbb{1}_{\left\{\frac{S_{n(1-\alpha)}}{\sqrt{n}} \geq \max(0,p-\beta)\right\}}\right) \leq \mathbf{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}}\mathbb{1}_{\left\{\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \geq \frac{p}{2}\right\}}\right) \overset{Schwartz}{\leq} \frac{c}{\mathrm{e}^{p/2}} \qquad \forall(\alpha,\beta) \in [0,1)\times[0,p/2)
\end{aligned}$$

we deduce,

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}}\mathbb{1}_{\{S_n\geq p\sqrt{n}\}}|\mathcal{C}_n\right)\leq c\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{p/2}}\nu_n([0,1)\times[0,\infty))+c\nu_n\left([0,1)\times[p/2,\infty)\right)\right).$$

at last

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_n\left([0,1)\times[p/2,\infty)\right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathbf{P}\left(T_k\leq n,\,H_k\geq\frac{p}{2}\sqrt{n}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{E}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau(\frac{p}{2}\sqrt{n})\leq n\}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathbb{1}_{\{T_k\leq n,\,H_k\geq\frac{p}{2}\sqrt{n}\}}\right)\\
&\overset{Markov}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{E}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau(\frac{p}{2}\sqrt{n})\leq n\}}\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathbb{1}_{\{T_k\leq n-\tau(\frac{p}{2}\sqrt{n})\}}\right)\right)\\
&\leq \mathbf{P}(\tau(\frac{p}{2}\sqrt{n})\leq n)\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathbb{1}_{\{T_k\leq n\}}\right)\\
&\leq c\frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{p}}\mu_n([0,1)\times[0,\infty)).
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is a consequence of theorem 12 (pp50) of [23]. At last, as $\mathbf{P}(T_1\geq n)\leq\frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}$ we deduce that $\mu_n([0,1)\times[0,\infty))\leq c$ for any $n\geq 0$. Our result follows. □

5.0.1 Useful Inequalities

We can find the following Lemma for example in [2].

Lemma 5.3 *There exists $c>0$ such that for $u>0, a\geq 0,\ b\geq 0$ and $n\geq 1$,*

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\underline{S}_n\geq -a,\ b-a\leq S_n\leq b-a+u\right)\leq c\frac{(u+1)(a+1)(b+u+1)}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \tag{5.11}$$

We deduce also the following Lemma :

Lemma 5.4 *For any $\alpha>0$, there exists $c>0$ such that*

(5.12)

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_u^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{1}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}\right)\leq\frac{c}{\sqrt{n}},\qquad \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_u^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{1}{(h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)})))^2}\right)\leq\frac{c}{n},\qquad \forall u\geq 0,\ n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.*Recall from (2.6) that $h_\alpha(u) = h_0(u+\alpha) \geq c(1+\alpha+u)$. According to (2.9) and (2.6)

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_u^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{1}{h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)}))}\right) = \frac{1}{h_\alpha(u)}\mathbf{P}(\underline{S}_n \geq -\alpha-u) \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Q}_u^{(\alpha)}}\left(\frac{1}{(h_\alpha(V(w_n^{(\alpha)})))^2}\right) = \frac{1}{h_\alpha(u)}\mathbf{E}_\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{1}{h_\alpha(u+S_n)}\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{S}_n\geq-\alpha-u\}}\right) \\
= \ & \frac{1}{h_\alpha(u)}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{E}_\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{1}{h_\alpha(u+S_n)}\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{S}_n\geq-\alpha-u,\, S_n\in[k-\alpha-u,k-\alpha-u+1]\}}\right) + \mathbf{E}_\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{1}{h_\alpha(u+S_n)}\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{S}_n\geq-\alpha-u,\, S_n\geq\sqrt{n}\}}\right)\right) \\
\leq \ & \frac{1}{h_\alpha(u)}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\sqrt{n}}\frac{c}{k}\mathbf{E}_\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{S}_n\geq-\alpha,\, S_n\in[k-\alpha-u,k-\alpha-u+1]\}}\right) + \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{E}_\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\underline{S}_n\geq-\alpha-u\}}\right)\right) \\
\leq \ & \sum_{k=0}^{\sqrt{n}}\frac{1}{h_\alpha(u)}\frac{1}{k}\frac{(1+1)(\alpha+u+1)(k+1+1)}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} + c\frac{1}{n} \\
\leq \ & \frac{c}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Acknowledgement I would like to thank Elie Aidékon, Yueyun Hu and Olivier Zindy who are at the origin of this paper. I am very grateful to them for providing me a heuristic of proof. Finally I want to thank again Yueyun Hu for his attention and support to me.

Références

[1] E. Aïdékon, J. Berestycki, É. Brunet, and Z. Shi. The branching Brownian motion seen from its tip. *ArXiv e-prints*, April 2011.

[2] E. Aidekon and Z. Shi. The Seneta-Heyde scaling for the branching random walk. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2011.

[3] Elie Aïdékon and Zhan Shi. Weak convergence for the minimal position in a branching random walk : a simple proof. *Period. Math. Hungar.*, 61(1-2) :43–54, 2010.

[4] T. Alberts and M. Ortgiese. The near-critical scaling window for directed polymers on disordered trees. *ArXiv e-prints*, May 2012.

[5] J. D. Biggins. Chernoff's theorem in the branching random walk. *J. Appl. Probability*, 14(3) :630–636, 1977.

[6] J. D. Biggins. Growth rates in the branching random walk. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, 48(1) :17–34, 1979.

[7] J. D. Biggins. Uniform convergence of martingales in the one-dimensional branching random walk. In *Selected Proceedings of the Sheffield Symposium on Applied Probability (Sheffield, 1989)*, volume 18 of *IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser.*, pages 159–173. Inst. Math. Statist., Hayward, CA, 1991.

[8] J. D. Biggins and A. E. Kyprianou. Measure change in multitype branching. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 36(2) :544–581, 2004.

[9] J. D. Biggins and A. E. Kyprianou. Fixed points of the smoothing transform : the boundary case. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 10 :no. 17, 609–631, 2005.

[10] Erwin Bolthausen. On a functional central limit theorem for random walks conditioned to stay positive. *Ann. Probability*, 4(3) :480–485, 1976.

[11] Francesco Caravenna. A local limit theorem for random walks conditioned to stay positive. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 133(4) :508–530, 2005.

[12] Francis Comets and Nobuo Yoshida. Directed polymers in random environment are diffusive at weak disorder. *Ann. Probab.*, 34(5) :1746–1770, 2006.

[13] B. Derrida and H. Spohn. Polymers on disordered trees, spin glasses, and traveling waves. *J. Statist. Phys.*, 51(5-6) :817–840, 1988. New directions in statistical mechanics (Santa Barbara, CA, 1987).

[14] William Feller. *An introduction to probability theory and its applications. Vol. II.* Second edition. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1971.

[15] Yueyun Hu and Zhan Shi. Minimal position and critical martingale convergence in branching random walks, and directed polymers on disordered trees. *Ann. Probab.*, 37(2) :742–789, 2009.

[16] Donald L. Iglehart. Functional central limit theorems for random walks conditioned to stay positive. *Ann. Probability*, 2 :608–619, 1974.

[17] B. Jaffuel. The critical random barrier for the survival of branching random walk with absorption. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2009.

[18] B. Jaffuel. The critical random barrier for the survival of branching random walk with absorption. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2009.

[19] Torrey Johnson and Edward C. Waymire. Tree polymers in the infinite volume limit at critical strong disorder. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 48(3) :885–891, 2011.

[20] Russell Lyons. A simple path to Biggins' martingale convergence for branching random walk. 84 :217–221, 1997.

[21] Russell Lyons, Robin Pemantle, and Yuval Peres. Conceptual proofs of $L \log L$ criteria for mean behavior of branching processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 23(3) :1125–1138, 1995.

[22] Peter Mörters and Marcel Ortgiese. Minimal supporting subtrees for the free energy of polymers on disordered trees. *J. Math. Phys.*, 49(12) :125203, 21, 2008.

[23] Valentin V. Petrov. *Limit theorems of probability theory*, volume 4 of *Oxford Studies in Probability*. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1995. Sequences of independent random variables, Oxford Science Publications.

[24] Daniel Revuz and Marc Yor. *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, volume 293 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, third edition, 1999.